

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,880	09/09/2003	John G. Richardson	B-335	3226
7590 11/19/2004			EXAMINER	
Stephen R. Christian BBWI PO BOX 1625 IDAHO FALLS, ID 83415-3899			NOORI, MAX H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2855	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/658,880	RICHARDSON, JOHN G.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Max Noori	2855			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 3 from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•	,			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3)	·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.	•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 23-31 is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5) 🗌	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	a ala ation manufactura ant				
ا(٥	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		,				
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice 3) Information	se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/9/4</u> .	Paper No(s)/N	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
.S. Patent and T	rademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/658,880 Page 2

Art Unit: 2855

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-22, drawn to a system for monitoring a structure, classified in class 73, subclass 804.
 - II. Claims 23-31, drawn to a structure with plurality of conductor, classified

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group I and Group II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it is monitoring strain with comparison of various conductors in terms of energy transmission. The subcombination has separate utility such as various engineering applications that pluralities of conductors are needed, such as distributed heating means.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,880

Art Unit: 2855

During a telephone conversation with Stephen Christian on 11/10/04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-22. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 23-31 withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention. The non-elected claims should be cancelled by the applicant during the prosecution of the application.

Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claim1-22 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of copending Application No. 10/074598. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are both directed to monitoring strain of a structure with similar limitations such as plurality of conductors, and means

Art Unit: 2855

for comparing the energy transmitting characteristics of various segments of the conductors.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Max H. Noori whose telephone number is (571) 272-2185. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. The central fax number is (703) 827-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MHN Wednesday, November 10, 2004

> MAX NOORI PRIMARY EXAMINER